

# **SECTION VIII**

## **FEMA CROSSWALK**

**(Local Hazard Mitigation Plan Review Tool)**

## Plan Review Tools

The following Plan Review Tools are based on the *Local Mitigation Plan Review Guide*, published by FEMA, dated October 1, 2011. This Plan Review Tool is consistent with the *Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000* (P.L. 106-390), enacted October 30, 2000 and *44 CFR Part 201 – Mitigation Planning, Interim Final Rule* (the Rule), published February 26, 2002.

The crosswalks are placed here to assist the reviewer in determining that the plan meets the requirements of the above legislation, and to provide a tool for the planners to use in continuously evaluating and improving the plan and, more importantly, using the plan to effect changes in policy and property to minimize the impacts of both natural and man-made disasters.

# NOTES TO REVIEWER

The following pages have been changed to meet the requirements noted below in the FEMA review tool. Per our telephone meeting on 4/20/2017, what we are calling “Phase 1” changes are targeted at getting Jefferson County and the City of Port Townsend an approved Hazard Mitigation Plan. We will address the requirements of the Special Districts, once the Plan has been approved.

1. **2016 Plan Revisions Summary**, pp. 4 and 9. Changes noted in the “Plan Process” section, the addition of Appendix H, *Plan Development Meetings*, and changes to the crosswalk, itself. Changes to this section are in **Bold RED** to make it easy for the reviewer to find.
  
2. **Section I – The Planning Process**
  - a. Pp. 59-60 – Added “Multi-jurisdiction Hazard Mitigation Plan Points-of-Contact table to show who is responsible each jurisdiction’s maintenance of their piece of the Plan.
  - b. P. 64 – Corrected reference to table containing the names and contact information of people involved with the development of the Plan. Added text to transition to graphic depicting the Plan Development Cycle.
  - c. P. 65 – Created a figure (PP-1 – Hazard Mitigation Plan Development Cycle) to show how all the relevant entities interact, how the public is continuously involved in the process, and how ideas flow into the governance documents of the participating jurisdictions.
  - d. P.66-67 – Added text and Figure PP-2, *Sample Hazard Mitigation Project Webpages – Home*, to show the mechanism by which the public can input suggestions from anywhere at any time.
  - e. Repaginated with pages 68a – 68f so that I would not have to repaginate the entire 900-page document, which is normally in multiple files to facilitate pushing to online or to the menu system on disk.
  - f. Page 68b – Revised Table PP-6 to reflect the new timing of annual maintenance based on Jefferson County and the City of Port Townsend being approved by June 2017.
  - g. Page 68c – Added a text block adding the caveat that the schedule on 68b is subject to change based on resources and competing mandated obligations.
  - h. Pp. 68c-68d – Created a graphic to show the timing of an annual maintenance cycle and how the JCDEM, participating jurisdictions, and public interact through regular JPREP meetings and known community events.
  - i. P. 68d – Added Table of Figures for the Section.
  - j. P. 68f – Spacer page to assure that, if printed, all the tabs and major section headings start on the right-side page.
  - k. Pp. 875 – 898 – Added Appendix H – “Planning Team Documentation” that contains agendas, sign-sheets, and rebuilt minutes, where available.

## LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW TOOL

The *Local Mitigation Plan Review Tool* demonstrates how the Local Mitigation Plan meets the regulation in 44 CFR §201.6 and offers States and FEMA Mitigation Planners an opportunity to provide feedback to the community.

- The Regulation Checklist provides a summary of FEMA’s evaluation of whether the Plan has addressed all requirements.
- The Plan Assessment identifies the plan’s strengths as well as documents areas for future improvement.
- The Multi-jurisdiction Summary Sheet is an optional worksheet that can be used to document how each jurisdiction met the requirements of the each Element of the Plan (Planning Process; Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment; Mitigation Strategy; Plan Review, Evaluation, and Implementation; and Plan Adoption).

The FEMA Mitigation Planner must reference this *Local Mitigation Plan Review Guide* when completing the *Local Mitigation Plan Review Tool*.

<b>Jurisdiction:</b> Jefferson County, the City of Port Townsend, and 21 Special Purpose Districts	<b>Title of Plan:</b> Jefferson County – City of Port Townsend Multi-Jurisdiction Hazard Mitigation Plan	<b>Date of Plan:</b>
<b>Local Point of Contact:</b> Ken Horvath	<b>Address:</b> Jefferson County Dept of Emergency Management Emergency Operations Center 81 Elkins Road Port Hadlock, WA 98368	
<b>Title:</b> Hazard Mitigation Plan Project Coordinator		
<b>Agency:</b> Jefferson County Department of Emergency Management		
<b>Phone Number:</b> (360) 385-9368 (Jefferson County EOC)	<b>E-Mail:</b> khorvath@co.jefferson.wa.us	

<b>State Reviewer:</b> Sarah Tomt <a href="mailto:Sarah.Tomt@mil.wa.gov">Sarah.Tomt@mil.wa.gov</a>	<b>Title:</b> Tsunami Program Coordinator	<b>Date:</b> 3/9/17
--	--	------------------------

<b>FEMA Reviewer:</b> Amanda Siok <a href="mailto:Amanda.Siok@fema.dhs.gov">Amanda.Siok@fema.dhs.gov</a> 425-487-4626 Brett Holt	<b>Title:</b> Mitigation Planner Mitigation Planner	<b>Date:</b> 03/30/2017 4/10/17
<b>Date Received in FEMA Region</b> <i>(insert #)</i>	05/15/2017	
<b>Plan Not Approved</b>		
<b>Plan Approvable Pending Adoption</b>		
<b>Plan Approved</b>		

**SECTION 1:  
REGULATION CHECKLIST**

<b>1. REGULATION CHECKLIST</b>		<b>Location in Plan (section and/or page number)</b>	<b>Met</b>	<b>Not Met</b>
<b>Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)</b>				
<b>ELEMENT A. PLANNING PROCESS</b>				
A1. Does the Plan document the planning process, including how it was prepared and who was involved in the process for each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(1))	Section I – PDF 80-87 Appendix B – PDF 736-764; Appendix H – PDF 906-928	X		
A2. Does the Plan document an opportunity for neighboring communities, local and regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, agencies that have the authority to regulate development as well as other interests to be involved in the planning process? (Requirement §201.6(b)(2))	Section I – p.59-64	X		
A3. Does the Plan document how the public was involved in the planning process during the drafting stage? (Requirement §201.6(b)(1))	Section I – p. 63-64	X		
A4. Does the Plan describe the review and incorporation of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information? (Requirement §201.6(b)(3))	Section I – p.61 Section IV – p.406 & p.457	X		
A5. Is there discussion of how the community(ies) will continue public participation in the plan maintenance process? (Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(iii)) <a href="#">See Response to question below.</a>	Section I – PDF 93-94	X		
A6. Is there a description of the method and schedule for keeping the plan current (monitoring, evaluating and updating the mitigation plan within a 5-year cycle)? (Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(i)) <a href="#">See Response to question below.</a>	Section I – PDF 93-94	X		
<b><u>ELEMENT A: REQUIRED REVISIONS</u></b>				
See Section three below for jurisdiction specific required revisions.				

<b>1. REGULATION CHECKLIST</b>		<b>Location in Plan</b> (section and/or page number)	<b>Met</b>	<b>Not Met</b>
<b>Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)</b>				
<b>ELEMENT B. HAZARD IDENTIFICATION AND RISK ASSESSMENT</b>				
B1. Does the Plan include a description of the type, location, and extent of all natural hazards that can affect each jurisdiction(s)? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i))	Section II – pp.69-372	X		
B2. Does the Plan include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events for each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i))	Section II – p.93-372; each hazard has representative previous occurrences.  <b>pp. 76-80 List all major disaster declarations for Jefferson County.</b>	X		
B3. Is there a description of each identified hazard’s impact on the community as well as an overall summary of the community’s vulnerability for each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii))	Section II p.69-372; each hazard profile contains a HIVA pertinent to the area and a conclusion.	X		
B4. Does the Plan address NFIP insured structures within the jurisdiction that have been repetitively damaged by floods? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii))	Section II – Floods – PDF 193-195 Section IV – PDF 437-451 & PDF 489 & 513	X		
<b>ELEMENT B: REQUIRED REVISIONS</b>				
See Section three below for jurisdiction specific required revisions.				

<b>1. REGULATION CHECKLIST</b>		<b>Location in Plan</b> (section and/or page number)	<b>Met</b>	<b>Not Met</b>
<b>Regulation</b> (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)				
<b>ELEMENT C. MITIGATION STRATEGY</b>				
C1. Does the plan document each jurisdiction’s existing authorities, policies, programs and resources and its ability to expand on and improve these existing policies and programs? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3))	Section IV - City – pp.389-454; County – pp.455-524; Special Purpose Districts – pp.525-626.	X		
C2. Does the Plan address each jurisdiction’s participation in the NFIP and continued compliance with NFIP requirements, as appropriate? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(ii))	Section IV – City pp.407-408; County pp.458-459.	X		
C3. Does the Plan include goals to reduce/avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(i))	Section V – Mitigation Actions – pp.627-662	X		
C4. Does the Plan identify and analyze a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects for each jurisdiction being considered to reduce the effects of hazards, with emphasis on new and existing buildings and infrastructure? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(ii)) <b>City and County have met this requirement.</b>	Section V – Mitigation Actions – pp.627-662	X		
C5. Does the Plan contain an action plan that describes how the actions identified will be prioritized (including cost benefit review), implemented, and administered by each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(iv)); (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(iii)) <b>City and County have met this requirement.</b>	Section III – Multi-Jurisdiction Hazard Mitigation p.305-387	X		
C6. Does the Plan describe a process by which local governments will integrate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, such as comprehensive or capital improvement plans, when appropriate? (Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(ii)) <b>City and County have met this requirement.</b>	Section I – p.66	X		
<b>ELEMENT C: REQUIRED REVISIONS</b>				
See Section three below for jurisdiction specific required revisions.				
<b>ELEMENT D. PLAN REVIEW, EVALUATION, AND IMPLEMENTATION</b> (applicable to plan updates only)				
D1. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in development? (Requirement §201.6(d)(3))	Revisions Summary – p.1-10	X		
D2. Was the plan revised to reflect progress in local mitigation efforts? (Requirement §201.6(d)(3))	Section V – Mitigation Actions pp.627-662	X		
D3. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in priorities? (Requirement §201.6(d)(3))	Section IV – Jurisdictions p.402-404	X		
<b>ELEMENT D: REQUIRED REVISIONS</b>				
<b>ELEMENT E. PLAN ADOPTION</b>				

<b>1. REGULATION CHECKLIST</b>		<b>Location in Plan</b> (section and/or page number)	<b>Met</b>	<b>Not Met</b>
<b>Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)</b>				
E1. Does the Plan include documentation that the plan has been formally adopted by the governing body of the jurisdiction requesting approval? (Requirement §201.6(c)(5))		Preface – p.xvii; Section I – p.65; Appendix G –p.751.		X
E2. For multi-jurisdictional plans, has each jurisdiction requesting approval of the plan documented formal plan adoption? (Requirement §201.6(c)(5))		Appendix F – Adoption Resolutions pp. 749-772.		X
<b><u>ELEMENT E: REQUIRED REVISIONS</u></b>				
<b>ELEMENT F. ADDITIONAL STATE REQUIREMENTS (OPTIONAL FOR STATE REVIEWERS ONLY; NOT TO BE COMPLETED BY FEMA)</b>				
F1.				
F2.				
<b><u>ELEMENT F: REQUIRED REVISIONS</u></b>				



## **SECTION 2: PLAN ASSESSMENT**

### **A. Plan Strengths and Opportunities for Improvement**

This section provides a discussion of the strengths of the plan document and identifies areas where these could be improved beyond minimum requirements.

#### **Element A: Planning Process**

##### Plan Strengths

- The plan describes a thorough process for maintenance and continued public engagement. An annual public engagement meeting coinciding with the planning committee's annual review is an excellent way to keep focus on the goals and strategies of the plan.
- Page 418 of the plan lists sources used to inform the Plan as well as the owner of that source. This is an excellent way to track used information while setting up a process that can be followed for collecting information for the next plan update without having to recreate the wheel.

##### Opportunities for Improvement

- Consider organizing the Summary of Adoption Resolutions (page 19/884) to more clearly identify participating jurisdictions in the hazard mitigation plan.
- Text of the plan refers to Appendix C as containing Contributors and Contact Points however, this is actually Appendix B of the Plan. The text should be changed to reflect the Table of Contents.

#### **Element B: Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment**

##### Plan Strengths

- The plan identifies risks associated with hazards in neighboring counties.
- The plan addresses climate change for each appropriate hazard.
- The plan documents the current status of the FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs)
- The plan references Sharknado, an invaluable resource to assist with understanding impacts associated with tornado hazards and reducing the risk of oversight when reviewing 600+ pages.
- Port Townsend did an excellent job of identifying codes and comprehensive plans that support hazard mitigation planning and risk reduction efforts.

##### Opportunities for Improvement

- Many of the maps in the risk assessment section (such as those for Brinnon in unincorporated Jefferson County) are illegible. These maps appear to be copy/pasted from another plan. Consider obtaining the source GIS data and reproducing the maps in the next updated.
- Use the Risk MAP database created by FEMA and WA DNR to develop GIS-based maps of vulnerable infrastructure and to enhance understanding of impacts.

## **Element C: Mitigation Strategy**

### Plan Strengths

- Port Townsend does an exceptional job meeting requirements for element C6. Not only is there a thorough description of the code/ordinance that can be linked with goals of the hazard mitigation planning process, but a column describes the review schedule of each code/ordinance. This process paves the road for successful implementation of the plan leading to reduced risk in the community.

### Opportunities for Improvement

- The strategies can be improved by identifying specific projects for mitigation based on risk assessment data from the Risk MAP.
- In addition to describing existing programs and policies related to hazard mitigation, include an assessment of resources that are available to implement mitigation such as existing regulatory authorities, staff, or funding through taxing authority and annual budgets.
- Make additional linkages between the vulnerability, hazard risk, and mitigation strategy. For example, target mitigation actions at specific locations/areas that have been identified as vulnerable to a hazard.

## **Element D: Plan Update, Evaluation, and Implementation (*Plan Updates Only*)**

### Plan Strengths

- The plan reviews the status of the 2009 mitigation action items and all of the existing actions that are not ongoing or were not completed have been deferred. An explanation of the accomplishments, deferment, or any changes made is provided.

### Opportunities for Improvement

-Although the plan confirmed the plan goals and reprioritized the mitigation actions, consider including a narrative description of if and how any priorities have changed since the plan was previously approved in order to reflect current financial, legal, political, and post-disaster conditions.

## B. Resources for Implementing Your Approved Plan

The **Region 10 Integrating Natural Hazard Mitigation into Comprehensive Planning** is a resource specific to Region 10 states and provides examples of how communities are integrating natural hazard mitigation strategies into comprehensive planning. You can find it in the FEMA Library at <http://www.fema.gov/media-library/assets/documents/89725>.

The **Integrating Hazard Mitigation Into Local Planning: Case Studies and Tools for Community Officials** resource provides practical guidance on how to incorporate risk reduction strategies into existing local plans, policies, codes, and programs that guide community development or redevelopment patterns. It includes recommended steps and tools to assist with local integration efforts, along with ideas for overcoming possible impediments, and presents a series of case studies to demonstrate successful integration in practice. You can find it in the FEMA Library at <http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=7130>.

The **Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk from Natural Hazards** resource presents ideas for how to mitigate the impacts of different natural hazards, from drought and sea level rise, to severe winter weather and wildfire. The document also includes ideas for actions that communities can take to reduce risk to multiple hazards, such as incorporating a hazard risk assessment into the local development review process. You can find it in the FEMA Library at <http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=6938>.

The **Local Mitigation Planning Handbook** provides guidance to local governments on developing or updating hazard mitigation plans to meet and go above the requirements. You can find it in the FEMA Library at <http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=7209>.

The **Integration Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Planning: Case Studies and Lessons Learned** resource is a 2014 ICLEI publication for San Diego with a clear methodology that could assist in next steps for integration impacts of climate change throughout mitigation actions. <http://icleiusa.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/08/Integrating-Hazard-Mitigation-and-Climate-Adaptation-Planning.pdf>

The **Local Mitigation Plan Review Guide and Tool** resource is available through FEMA's Library and should be referred to for the next plan update. <http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=4859>

The **Tribal Multi-Hazard Mitigation Planning Guidance**: This resource is specific to tribal governments developing or updating tribal mitigation plans. It covers all aspects of tribal planning requirements and the steps to developing tribal mitigation plans. You can find the document in the FEMA Library at <http://www.fema.gov/media-library/assets/documents/18355>

Washington Fire Adapted Communities Learning Network

**Volcanic Eruption Mitigation Measures:** For information on Mitigation Actions for Volcanic Eruptions that would satisfy the C4 requirement, please visit:

<http://earthzine.org/2011/03/21/volcanic-crisis-management-and-mitigation-strategies-a-multi-risk-framework-case-study/> and <http://www.gvess.org/publ.html>.

The FEMA Region 10 **Risk Mapping, Analysis, and Planning program (Risk MAP)** releases a monthly newsletter that includes information about upcoming events and training opportunities, as well as hazard and risk related news from around the Region. Past newsletters can be viewed at <http://www.starr-team.com/starr/RegionalWorkspaces/RegionX/Pages/default.aspx>. If you would like to receive future newsletters, email [rxnewsletter@starr-team.com](mailto:rxnewsletter@starr-team.com) and ask to be included.

The mitigation strategy may include eligible projects to be funded through FEMA's hazard mitigation grant programs (Pre-Disaster Mitigation, Hazard Mitigation Grant Program, Flood Mitigation Assistance). Contact your State Hazard Mitigation Officer, Tim Cook at [tim.cook@mil.wa.gov](mailto:tim.cook@mil.wa.gov), for more information.

**SECTION 3:**

**MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET (OPTIONAL)**

**INSTRUCTIONS:** For multi-jurisdictional plans, a Multi-jurisdiction Summary Spreadsheet may be completed by listing each participating jurisdiction, which required Elements for each jurisdiction were ‘Met’ or ‘Not Met,’ and when the adoption resolutions were received. This Summary Sheet does not imply that a mini-plan be developed for each jurisdiction; it should be used as an optional worksheet to ensure that each jurisdiction participating in the Plan has been documented and has met the requirements for those Elements (A through E).

MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET												
#	Jurisdiction Name	Jurisdiction Type (city/borough/ township/ village, etc.)	Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
							A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Requirements
1	Jefferson County, WA	County	Lynn Sterbenz, Director	Jefferson County Dept of Emergency Management 81 Elkins Road Port Hadlock, WA 98338	lsterbenz@co.jefferson.wa.us	(360) 385-9368	1. Y 2. Y 3. Y 4. Y 5. Y 6. Y	1. Y 2. Y 3. Y 4. Y	1. Y 2. Y 3. Y 4. Y 5. Y 6. Y	1. Y 2. Y 3. Y		

MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET											
#	Jurisdiction Name	Jurisdiction Type (city/borough/ township/ village, etc.)	Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)				
							A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption
							<p>Consider reconciling the below NFIP repetitive loss data prior to finalizing the plan.</p> <p>The Risk MAP Assessment on Page 165 states there is 1 repetitive loss property in the County and none in the City of Port Townsend. PDF 451 (Plan 420) states the City of Port Townsend has 1 repetitive loss property. These numbers should be reconciled.</p> <p>PDF Page 489 (Document page 458) documents 1 repetitive loss property in the Unincorporated County but doesn't identify the value of the property. There should be a Mitigation Strategy for this property.</p> <p>PDF Page 513 (Document page 482) documents 1 repetitive loss property in the County.</p>				

MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET												
#	Jurisdiction Name	Jurisdiction Type (city/borough/ township/ village, etc.)	Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
							A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Requirements
2	City of Port Townsend	City	Michael Evans, Chief of Police	Port Townsend Police Dept 1925 Blaine St Port Townsend, WA 98368	mevans@cityofpt.us	(360) 382-2322	1. Y	1. Y	1. Y	1. Y		
							2. Y	2. Y	2. Y	2. Y		
							3. Y	3. Y	3. Y	3. Y		
							4. Y	4. Y	4. Y			
							5. Y		5. Y			
							6. Y		6. Y			
<p>Consider reconciling the below NFIP repetitive loss data prior to finalizing the plan.</p> <p>The Risk MAP Assessment on Page 165 states there is 1 repetitive loss property in the County and none in the City of Port Townsend. PDF 451 (Plan 420) states the City of Port Townsend has 1 repetitive loss property. These numbers should be reconciled.</p> <p>PDF Page 489 (Document page 458) documents 1 repetitive loss property in the Unincorporated County but doesn't identify the value of the property. There should be a Mitigation Strategy for this property.</p> <p>PDF Page 513 (Document page 482) documents 1 repetitive loss property in the County.</p>												

MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET												
#	Jurisdiction Name	Jurisdiction Type (city/borough/township/village, etc.)	Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
							A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Requirements
3	East Jefferson Fire & Rescue	Fire District (JCFD1)	Ted Krysiniski Deputy Fire Chief	24 Seton Road Port Townsend, WA 98368	tkrysiniski@ejfr.org	(360) 385-2626	1. N	1. Y	1. Y	1. N/A		
							2. Y	2. Y	2. N/A	2. N/A		
							3. Y	3. N	3. Y	3. N/A		
							4. Y	4. N/A	4. N			
							5. Y		5. N			
							6. Y		6. N			
		<p>Required Revisions:</p> <p><b>Element A1:</b> For each jurisdiction seeking plan approval, the plan must document how they were involved in the planning process. This includes how each jurisdiction’s representative engaged <i>its own</i> community. The plan is lacking documentation of how each special district engaged their jurisdiction in the planning process after team meetings. It is suggested that each special district describe how they engaged their jurisdiction to develop plan content after attending planning meetings.</p> <p><b>Element B3:</b> The plan must describe and summarize the potential impacts of each of the identified hazards on JCFD1. Impact means the consequence or effect of the hazard on FCD1 and its assets. Consider vulnerabilities to structures, systems, and populations. The current list of critical facilities and list of prioritized hazards is not sufficient.</p> <p><b>Element C4:</b> Each jurisdiction participating in the plan must have mitigation actions specific to that jurisdiction that are based on the jurisdiction’s risk and vulnerabilities as well as priorities. Each jurisdiction must have at least one action for each hazard identified. Please revise the plan to include one action for each hazard identified.</p> <p><b>Element C5:</b> The plan must identify the position, office, or department responsible for implementing and administering the action for each jurisdiction. Additionally, the plan must document the potential funding source for each action and jurisdiction.</p> <p><b>Element C6:</b> The plan must describe each jurisdiction’s process to integrate the data, information, and hazard mitigation goals and actions into other planning mechanisms. FCD1 needs to document its process for integrating the hazard mitigation actions into other planning mechanisms (ex emergency response plan, emergency operations plan, comprehensive emergency management plan, etc.).</p>										



MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET												
#	Jurisdiction Name	Jurisdiction Type (city/borough/ township/ village, etc.)	Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
							A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Requirements
4	Quilcene Fire - Rescue	Fire District (JCFD2)	Larry Karp Chief	70 Herbert St Quilcene, WA 98376	chief@qvfd.org	(360) 765-3333	1. N	1. Y	1. Y	1. N/A		
							2. Y	2. Y	2. N/A	2. N/A		
							3. Y	3. N	3. Y	3. N/A		
							4. Y	4. N/A	4. N			
							5. Y		5. N			
							6. Y		6. N			
		<p>Required Revisions:</p> <p><u>Element A1:</u> For each jurisdiction seeking plan approval, the plan must document how they were involved in the planning process. This includes how each jurisdiction’s representative engaged <i>its own</i> community. The plan is lacking documentation of how each special district engaged their jurisdiction in the planning process after team meetings. It is suggested that each special district describe how they engaged their jurisdiction to develop plan content after attending planning meetings.</p> <p><u>Element B3:</u> The plan must describe and summarize the potential impacts of each of the identified hazards on JCFD2. Impact means the consequence or effect of the hazard on JCFD2 and its assets. Consider vulnerabilities to structures, systems, and populations. The current list of critical facilities and list of prioritized hazards is not sufficient.</p> <p><u>Element C4:</u> Each jurisdiction participating in the plan must have mitigation actions specific to that jurisdiction that are based on the jurisdiction’s risk and vulnerabilities as well as priorities. Each jurisdiction must have at least one action for each hazard identified. Please revise the plan to include one action for each hazard identified.</p> <p><u>Element C5:</u> The plan must identify the position, office, or department responsible for implementing and administering the action for each jurisdiction. Additionally, the plan must document the potential funding source for each action and jurisdiction.</p> <p><u>Element C6:</u> The plan must describe each jurisdiction’s process to integrate the data, information, and hazard mitigation goals and actions into other planning mechanisms. JCFD2 needs to document its process for integrating the hazard mitigation actions into other planning mechanisms (ex emergency response plan, emergency operations plan, comprehensive emergency management plan, etc.).</p>										

MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET												
#	Jurisdiction Name	Jurisdiction Type (city/borough/ township/ village, etc.)	Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
							A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Requirements
5	Port Ludlow Fire - Rescue	Fire District (JCFD3)	Brad Martin Chief	7650 Oak Bay Road Port Ludlow, WA 98365	brad.martin@plfr.org	(360) 437-2236	1. N	1. Y	1. Y	1. N/A		
							2. Y	2. Y	2. N/A	2. N/A		
							3. Y	3. N	3. Y	3. N/A		
							4. Y	4. N/A	4. N			
							5. Y		5. N			
							6. Y		6. N			
		<p>Required Revisions:</p> <p><b>Element A1:</b> For each jurisdiction seeking plan approval, the plan must document how they were involved in the planning process. This includes how each jurisdiction’s representative engaged <i>its own</i> community. The plan is lacking documentation of how each special district engaged their jurisdiction in the planning process after team meetings. It is suggested that each special district describe how they engaged their jurisdiction to develop plan content after attending planning meetings.</p> <p><b>Element B3:</b> The plan must describe and summarize the potential impacts of each of the identified hazards on JCFD3. Impact means the consequence or effect of the hazard on JCFD3 and its assets. Consider vulnerabilities to structures, systems, and populations. The current list of critical facilities and list of prioritized hazards is not sufficient.</p> <p><b>Element C4:</b> Each jurisdiction participating in the plan must have mitigation actions specific to that jurisdiction that are based on the jurisdiction’s risk and vulnerabilities as well as priorities. Each jurisdiction must have at least one action for each hazard identified. Please revise the plan to include one action for each hazard identified.</p> <p><b>Element C5:</b> The plan must identify the position, office, or department responsible for implementing and administering the action for each jurisdiction. Additionally, the plan must document the potential funding source for each action and jurisdiction.</p> <p><b>Element C6:</b> The plan must describe each jurisdiction’s process to integrate the data, information, and hazard mitigation goals and actions into other planning mechanisms. JCFD3 needs to document its process for integrating the hazard mitigation actions into other planning mechanisms (ex emergency response plan, emergency operations plan, comprehensive emergency management plan, etc.).</p>										

MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET												
#	Jurisdiction Name	Jurisdiction Type (city/borough/ township/ village, etc.)	Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
							A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Requirements
6	Brinnon Fire - Rescue	Fire District (JCFD4)	Tim Manly Chief	272 Schoolhouse RD P.O. Box 42 Brinnon, WA 98320	tmanly@brinnonfire.org	(360) 796-4450	1. N	1. Y	1. Y	1. N/A		
							2. Y	2. Y	2. Y	2. N/A		
							3. Y	3. N	3. Y	3. N/A		
							4. Y	4. N/A	4. N			
							5. Y		5. N			
							6. Y		6. N			
<p>Required Revisions:</p> <p><u>Element A1:</u> For each jurisdiction seeking plan approval, the plan must document how they were involved in the planning process. This includes how each jurisdiction’s representative engaged <i>its own</i> community. The plan is lacking documentation of how each special district engaged their jurisdiction in the planning process after team meetings. It is suggested that each special district describe how they engaged their jurisdiction to develop plan content after attending planning meetings.</p> <p><u>Element B3:</u> The plan must describe and summarize the potential impacts of each of the identified hazards on JCFD4. Impact means the consequence or effect of the hazard on JCFD4 and its assets. Consider vulnerabilities to structures, systems, and populations. The current list of critical facilities and list of prioritized hazards is not sufficient.</p> <p><u>Element C4:</u> Each jurisdiction participating in the plan must have mitigation actions specific to that jurisdiction that are based on the jurisdiction’s risk and vulnerabilities as well as priorities. Each jurisdiction must have at least one action for each hazard identified. Please revise the plan to include one action for each hazard identified.</p> <p><u>Element C5:</u> The plan must identify the position, office, or department responsible for implementing and administering the action for each jurisdiction. Additionally, the plan must document the potential funding source for each action and jurisdiction.</p> <p><u>Element C6:</u> The plan must describe each jurisdiction’s process to integrate the data, information, and hazard mitigation goals and actions into other planning mechanisms. JCFD4 needs to document its process for integrating the hazard mitigation actions into other planning mechanisms (ex emergency response plan, emergency operations plan, comprehensive emergency management plan, etc.).</p>												

MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET												
#	Jurisdiction Name	Jurisdiction Type (city/borough/ township/ village, etc.)	Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
							A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Requirements
7	Discovery Bay Fire & Rescue	Fire District (JCFD5)	Willie Knoepfle Chief	12 Bentley Pl Port Townsend, WA 98368	wknoepfle@dbvfr.org	(360) 379-6839	1. N	1. Y	1. Y	1. N/A		
							2. Y	2. N	2. Y	2. N/A		
							3. Y	3. N	3. Y	3. N/A		
							4. Y	4. N/A	4. N			
							5. Y		5. N			
							6. Y		6. N			
<p>Required Revisions:</p> <p><b>Element A1:</b> For each jurisdiction seeking plan approval, the plan must document how they were involved in the planning process. This includes how each jurisdiction’s representative engaged <i>its own</i> community. The plan is lacking documentation of how each special district engaged their jurisdiction in the planning process after team meetings. It is suggested that each special district describe how they engaged their jurisdiction to develop plan content after attending planning meetings.</p> <p><b>Element B2:</b> The Plan must include the history of previous hazard events for each of the identified hazards. JCFD5 did not list any past natural hazard events since 1975. Please revise the plan to include any hazard events or document that there were none.</p> <p><b>Element B3:</b> The plan must describe and summarize the potential impacts of each of the identified hazards on JCFD5. Impact means the consequence or effect of the hazard on JCFD5 and its assets. Consider vulnerabilities to structures, systems, and populations. The current list of critical facilities and list of prioritized hazards is not sufficient.</p> <p><b>Element C4:</b> Each jurisdiction participating in the plan must have mitigation actions specific to that jurisdiction that are based on the jurisdiction’s risk and vulnerabilities as well as priorities. Each jurisdiction must have at least one action for each hazard identified. Please revise the plan to include one action for each hazard identified.</p> <p><b>Element C5:</b> The plan must identify the position, office, or department responsible for implementing and administering the action for each jurisdiction. Additionally, the plan must document the potential funding source for each action and jurisdiction.</p> <p><b>Element C6:</b> The plan must describe each jurisdiction’s process to integrate the data, information, and hazard mitigation goals and actions into other planning mechanisms. JCFD5 needs to document its process for integrating the hazard mitigation actions into other planning mechanisms (ex emergency response plan, emergency operations plan, comprehensive emergency management plan, etc.).</p>												

MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET												
#	Jurisdiction Name	Jurisdiction Type (city/borough/ township/ village, etc.)	Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
							A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Requirements
8	JeffCom 9-1-1	Special Purpose District	Karl Hatton Director	81 Elkins Road Port Hadlock, WA 98339	khatton@jcpsn.us	(360) 344-9779	1. N	1. Y	1. Y	1. N/A		
							2. Y	2. Y	2. Y	2. N/A		
							3. Y	3. N	3. Y	3. N/A		
							4. Y	4. N/A	4. N			
							5. Y		5. N			
							6. Y		6. N			
		<p><u>Element A1:</u> For each jurisdiction seeking plan approval, the plan must document how they were involved in the planning process. This includes how each jurisdiction’s representative engaged <i>its own</i> community. The plan is lacking documentation of how each special district engaged their jurisdiction in the planning process after team meetings. It is suggested that each special district describe how they engaged their jurisdiction to develop plan content after attending planning meetings.</p> <p><u>Element B3:</u> The plan must describe and summarize the potential impacts of each of the identified hazards on JeffCOM’s service area. Impact means the consequence or effect of the hazard on JeffCOM and its assets. Consider vulnerabilities to structures, systems, and populations. The current list of critical facilities and list of prioritized hazards is not sufficient.</p> <p><u>Element C4:</u> Each jurisdiction participating in the plan must have mitigation actions specific to that jurisdiction that are based on the jurisdiction’s risk and vulnerabilities as well as priorities. Each jurisdiction must have at least one action for each hazard identified. Please revise the plan to include one action for each hazard identified.</p> <p><u>Element C5:</u> The plan must identify the position, office, or department responsible for implementing and administering the action for each jurisdiction. Additionally, the plan must document the potential funding source for each action and jurisdiction.</p> <p><u>Element C6:</u> The plan must describe each jurisdiction’s process to integrate the data, information, and hazard mitigation goals and actions into other planning mechanisms. JeffCOM needs to document its process for integrating the hazard mitigation actions into other planning mechanisms (ex emergency response plan, emergency operations plan, comprehensive emergency management plan, etc.).</p>										

MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET												
#	Jurisdiction Name	Jurisdiction Type (city/borough/ township/ village, etc.)	Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
							A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Requirements
9	Jefferson HealthCare Medical Center	Public Hospital District No. 2	Bill Hunt	824 Sheridan St Port Townsend, WA 98368	bhunt@jgh.org	(360) 385-2200	1. N	1. Y	1. Y	1. N/A		
							2. Y	2. N	2. Y	2. N/A		
							3. Y	3. N	3. Y	3. N/A		
							4. Y	4. N/A	4. N			
							5. Y		5. N			
							6. Y		6. N			
<p>Required Revisions:</p> <p><u>Element A1:</u> For each jurisdiction seeking plan approval, the plan must document how they were involved in the planning process. This includes how each jurisdiction’s representative engaged <i>its own</i> community. The plan is lacking documentation of how each special district engaged their jurisdiction in the planning process after team meetings. It is suggested that each special district describe how they engaged their jurisdiction to develop plan content after attending planning meetings.</p> <p><u>Element B2:</u> The Plan must include the history of previous hazard events for each of the identified hazards. Hospital Dist 2 did not list any past natural hazard events since 1975. Please revise the plan to include any hazard events or document that there were none.</p> <p><u>Element B3:</u> The plan must describe and summarize the potential impacts of each of the identified hazards on the hospital and its service area. Impact means the consequence or effect of the hazard on the hospital and its assets. Consider vulnerabilities to structures, systems, and populations. The current list of critical facilities and list of prioritized hazards is not sufficient.</p> <p><u>Element C4:</u> Each jurisdiction participating in the plan must have mitigation actions specific to that jurisdiction that are based on the jurisdiction’s risk and vulnerabilities as well as priorities. Each jurisdiction must have at least one action for each hazard identified. Please revise the plan to include one action for each hazard identified.</p> <p><u>Element C5:</u> The plan must identify the position, office, or department responsible for implementing and administering the action for each jurisdiction. Additionally, the plan must document the potential funding source for each action and jurisdiction.</p> <p><u>Element C6:</u> The plan must describe each jurisdiction’s process to integrate the data, information, and hazard mitigation goals and actions into other planning mechanisms. The Hospital District 2 needs to document its process for integrating the hazard mitigation actions into other planning mechanisms (ex emergency response plan, emergency operations plan, comprehensive emergency management plan, etc.).</p>												

MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET												
#	Jurisdiction Name	Jurisdiction Type (city/borough/ township/ village, etc.)	Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
							A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Requirements
10	Jefferson County Library	Public Library District	Meredith Wagner Director	620 Cedar Ave Port Hadlock, WA 98339	mwagner@jclibrary.info	(360) 385-6544	1. N	1. Y	1. N	1. N/A		
							2. Y	2. N	2. Y	2. N/A		
							3. Y	3. N	3. Y	3. N/A		
							4. Y	4. N/A	4. N			
							5. Y		5. N			
							6. Y		6. N			
<p>Required Revisions:</p> <p><b>Element A1:</b> For each jurisdiction seeking plan approval, the plan must document how they were involved in the planning process. This includes how each jurisdiction’s representative engaged <i>its own</i> community. The plan is lacking documentation of how each special district engaged their jurisdiction in the planning process after team meetings. It is suggested that each special district describe how they engaged their jurisdiction to develop plan content after attending planning meetings.</p> <p><b>Element B2:</b> The Plan must include the history of previous hazard events for each of the identified hazards. The Library District did not list any past natural hazard events since 1975. Please revise the plan to include any hazard events or document that there were none.</p> <p><b>Element B3:</b> The plan must describe and summarize the potential impacts of each of the identified hazards on the Library and its service area. Impact means the consequence or effect of the hazard on the library and its assets. Consider vulnerabilities to structures, systems, and populations. The current list of critical facilities and list of prioritized hazards is not sufficient.</p> <p><b>Element C1:</b> The plan must describe each jurisdiction’s existing authorities, policies, programs and resources available to accomplish hazard mitigation. The Emergency Planning Manual is not applicable to pre-disaster risk reduction (mitigation) nor is listing the adoption of this plan. Please revise with existing mitigation practices ex. non-structural retrofits, etc. or document that there are none in place.</p> <p><b>Element C4:</b> Each jurisdiction participating in the plan must have mitigation actions specific to that jurisdiction that are based on the jurisdiction’s risk and vulnerabilities as well as priorities. Each jurisdiction must have at least one action for each hazard identified. Please revise the plan to include one action for each hazard identified.</p> <p><b>Element C5:</b> The plan must identify the position, office, or department responsible for implementing and administering the action for each jurisdiction. Additionally, the plan must document the potential funding source for each action and jurisdiction.</p> <p><b>Element C6:</b> The plan must describe each jurisdiction’s process to integrate the data, information, and hazard mitigation goals and actions into other planning mechanisms. The Library District needs to document its process for integrating the hazard mitigation actions into other planning mechanisms (ex emergency response plan, emergency operations plan, comprehensive emergency management plan, etc.).</p>												

MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET												
#	Jurisdiction Name	Jurisdiction Type (city/borough/township/village, etc.)	Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
							A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Requirements
11	Port of Port Townsend	Port District	Sam Gibboney Exec Director	2701 Jefferson St Port Townsend, WA 98368	samg@portofpt.com	(360) 385-0656	1. N	1. Y	1. Y	1. N/A		
							2. Y	2. N*	2. Y	2. N/A		
							3. Y	3. N	3. Y	3. N/A		
							4. Y	4. N/A	4. N			
							5. Y		5. N			
							6. Y		6. N			
<p>Required Revisions:</p> <p><b>Element A1:</b> For each jurisdiction seeking plan approval, the plan must document how they were involved in the planning process. This includes how each jurisdiction’s representative engaged <i>its own</i> community. The plan is lacking documentation of how each special district engaged their jurisdiction in the planning process after team meetings. It is suggested that each special district describe how they engaged their jurisdiction to develop plan content after attending planning meetings.</p> <p><b>Element B2:</b> The Plan must include the history of previous hazard events for each of the identified hazards. The Port did not list any past natural hazard events since 1975. Please revise the plan to include any hazard events or document that there were none.</p> <p><b>Element B3:</b> The plan must describe and summarize the potential impacts of each of the identified hazards on the Port and its service area. Impact means the consequence or effect of the hazard on the Port and its assets. Consider vulnerabilities to structures, systems, and populations. The current list of critical facilities and list of prioritized hazards is not sufficient.</p> <p><b>Element C4:</b> Each jurisdiction participating in the plan must have mitigation actions specific to that jurisdiction that are based on the jurisdiction’s risk and vulnerabilities as well as priorities. Each jurisdiction must have at least one action for each hazard identified. Please revise the plan to include one action for each hazard identified.</p> <p><b>Element C5:</b> The plan must identify the position, office, or department responsible for implementing and administering the action for each jurisdiction. Additionally, the plan must document the potential funding source for each action and jurisdiction.</p> <p><b>Element C6:</b> The plan must describe each jurisdiction’s process to integrate the data, information, and hazard mitigation goals and actions into other planning mechanisms. The Port needs to document its process for integrating the hazard mitigation actions into other planning mechanisms (ex emergency response plan, emergency operations plan, comprehensive emergency management plan, etc.).</p>												



MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET												
#	Jurisdiction Name	Jurisdiction Type (city/borough/township/village, etc.)	Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
							A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Requirements
12	Port Townsend School District No. 50	Public School District	John Polm Superintendent Of Schools	450 Fir St Port Townsend, WA 98368	jpolm@ptschools.org	(360) 379-4501	1. N	1. Y	1. N	1. N/A		
							2. Y	2. N	2. Y	2. N/A		
							3. Y	3. N	3. Y	3. N/A		
							4. Y	4. N/A	4. N			
							5. Y		5. N			
							6. Y		6. N			
<p><u>Element A1:</u> For each jurisdiction seeking plan approval, the plan must document how they were involved in the planning process. This includes how each jurisdiction’s representative engaged <i>its own</i> community. The plan is lacking documentation of how each special district engaged their jurisdiction in the planning process after team meetings. It is suggested that each special district describe how they engaged their jurisdiction to develop plan content after attending planning meetings.</p> <p><u>Element B2:</u> The Plan must include the history of previous hazard events for each of the identified hazards. The School District (50) did not list any past natural hazard events since 1975. Please revise the plan to include any hazard events or document that there were none.</p> <p><u>Element B3:</u> The plan must describe and summarize the potential impacts of each of the identified hazards on School District 50 and its service area. Impact means the consequence or effect of the hazard on the School District and its assets. Consider vulnerabilities to structures, systems, and populations. The current list of critical facilities and list of prioritized hazards is not sufficient.</p> <p><u>Element C1:</u> The plan must describe each jurisdiction’s existing authorities, policies, programs and resources available to accomplish hazard mitigation. Please revise with existing mitigation practices ex. non-structural retrofits, etc. or document that there are none in place.</p> <p><u>Element C4:</u> Each jurisdiction participating in the plan must have mitigation actions specific to that jurisdiction that are based on the jurisdiction’s risk and vulnerabilities as well as priorities. Each jurisdiction must have at least one action for each hazard identified. Please revise the plan to include one action for each hazard identified.</p> <p><u>Element C5:</u> The plan must identify the position, office, or department responsible for implementing and administering the action for each jurisdiction. Additionally, the plan must document the potential funding source for each action and jurisdiction.</p> <p><u>Element C6:</u> The plan must describe each jurisdiction’s process to integrate the data, information, and hazard mitigation goals and actions into other planning mechanisms. School District 50 needs to document its process for integrating the hazard mitigation actions into other planning mechanisms (ex emergency response plan, emergency operations plan, comprehensive emergency management plan, etc.).</p>												

MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET												
#	Jurisdiction Name	Jurisdiction Type (city/borough/ township/ village, etc.)	Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
							A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Requirements
13	Brinnon School District No. 45 (46?)	Public School District	Patricia Beathard Superintendent Of Schools	46 Schoolhouse Rd Brinnon, WA 98320	pbeathard@bsd46.org	(360) 796-4646	1. N	1. Y	1. Y	1. N/A		
							2. Y	2. N	2. Y	2. N/A		
							3. Y	3. N	3. Y	3. N/A		
							4. Y	4. N/A	4. N			
							5. Y		5. N			
							6. Y		6. N			
		<p>Required Revisions:</p> <p><u>Element A1:</u> For each jurisdiction seeking plan approval, the plan must document how they were involved in the planning process. This includes how each jurisdiction’s representative engaged <i>its own</i> community. The plan is lacking documentation of how each special district engaged their jurisdiction in the planning process after team meetings. It is suggested that each special district describe how they engaged their jurisdiction to develop plan content after attending planning meetings.</p> <p><u>Element B2:</u> The Plan must include the history of previous hazard events for each of the identified hazards. The School District did not list any past natural hazard events since 1975. Please revise the plan to include any hazard events or document that there were none.</p> <p><u>Element B3:</u> The plan must describe and summarize the potential impacts of each of the identified hazards on the School District and its service area. Impact means the consequence or effect of the hazard on the School District and its assets. Consider vulnerabilities to structures, systems, and populations. The current list of critical facilities and list of prioritized hazards is not sufficient.</p> <p><u>Element C4:</u> Each jurisdiction participating in the plan must have mitigation actions specific to that jurisdiction that are based on the jurisdiction’s risk and vulnerabilities as well as priorities. Each jurisdiction must have at least one action for each hazard identified. Please revise the plan to include one action for each hazard identified.</p> <p><u>Element C5:</u> The plan must identify the position, office, or department responsible for implementing and administering the action for each jurisdiction. Additionally, the plan must document the potential funding source for each action and jurisdiction.</p> <p><u>Element C6:</u> The plan must describe each jurisdiction’s process to integrate the data, information, and hazard mitigation goals and actions into other planning mechanisms. The School District needs to document its process for integrating the hazard mitigation actions into other planning mechanisms (ex emergency response plan, emergency operations plan, comprehensive emergency management plan, etc.).</p>										

MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET												
#	Jurisdiction Name	Jurisdiction Type (city/borough/ township/ village, etc.)	Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
							A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Requirements
14	Chimacum School District No. 49	Public School District	Rick Thompson Superintendent Of Schools	P.O. Box 287 Chimacum, WA 98325	rick_thompson@csd49.org	(360) 302-5896	1. N	1. Y	1. Y	1. N/A		
							2. Y	2. N	2. Y	2. N/A		
							3. Y	3. N	3. Y	3. N/A		
							4. Y	4. N/A	4. N			
							5. Y		5. N			
							6. Y		6. N			
<p>Required Revisions:</p> <p><b>Element A1:</b> For each jurisdiction seeking plan approval, the plan must document how they were involved in the planning process. This includes how each jurisdiction’s representative engaged <i>its own</i> community. The plan is lacking documentation of how each special district engaged their jurisdiction in the planning process after team meetings. It is suggested that each special district describe how they engaged their jurisdiction to develop plan content after attending planning meetings.</p> <p><b>Element B2:</b> The Plan must include the history of previous hazard events for each of the identified hazards. The School District did not list any past natural hazard events since 1975. Please revise the plan to include any hazard events or document that there were none.</p> <p><b>Element B3:</b> The plan must describe and summarize the potential impacts of each of the identified hazards on the School District and its service area. Impact means the consequence or effect of the hazard on the School District and its assets. Consider vulnerabilities to structures, systems, and populations. The current list of critical facilities and list of prioritized hazards is not sufficient.</p> <p><b>Element C4:</b> Each jurisdiction participating in the plan must have mitigation actions specific to that jurisdiction that are based on the jurisdiction’s risk and vulnerabilities as well as priorities. Each jurisdiction must have at least one action for each hazard identified. Please revise the plan to include one action for each hazard identified.</p> <p><b>Element C5:</b> The plan must identify the position, office, or department responsible for implementing and administering the action for each jurisdiction. Additionally, the plan must document the potential funding source for each action and jurisdiction.</p> <p><b>Element C6:</b> The plan must describe each jurisdiction’s process to integrate the data, information, and hazard mitigation goals and actions into other planning mechanisms. The School District needs to document its process for integrating the hazard mitigation actions into other planning mechanisms (ex emergency response plan, emergency operations plan, comprehensive emergency management plan, etc.).</p>												

MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET												
#	Jurisdiction Name	Jurisdiction Type (city/borough/ township/ village, etc.)	Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
							A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Requirements
15	Queets / Clearwater School District No. 20	Public School District	Scott M. Carter Superintendent Of Schools	146000 Hwy 101 Forks, WA 98331	scarter@qcsd.wednet.edu	(360) 962-2395	1. N 2. Y 3. Y 4. Y 5. Y 6. Y	1. Y 2. N 3. N 4. N/A	1. N 2. Y 3. Y 4. N 5. N 6. N	1. N/A 2. N/A 3. N/A		

MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET												
#	Jurisdiction Name	Jurisdiction Type (city/borough/ township/ village, etc.)	Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
							A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Requirements
		<p>Required Revisions:</p> <p><u>Element A1:</u> For each jurisdiction seeking plan approval, the plan must document how they were involved in the planning process. This includes how each jurisdiction’s representative engaged <i>its own</i> community. The plan is lacking documentation of how each special district engaged their jurisdiction in the planning process after team meetings. It is suggested that each special district describe how they engaged their jurisdiction to develop plan content after attending planning meetings.</p> <p><u>Element B2:</u> The Plan must include the history of previous hazard events for each of the identified hazards. The School District did not list any past natural hazard events since 1975. Please revise the plan to include any hazard events or document that there were none.</p> <p><u>Element B3:</u> The plan must describe and summarize the potential impacts of each of the identified hazards on the School District and its service area. Impact means the consequence or effect of the hazard on the School District and its assets. Consider vulnerabilities to structures, systems, and populations. The current list of critical facilities and list of prioritized hazards is not sufficient.</p> <p><u>Element C1:</u> The plan must describe each jurisdiction’s existing authorities, policies, programs and resources available to accomplish hazard mitigation. Please revise with existing mitigation practices ex. non-structural retrofits, etc. or document that there are none in place.</p> <p><u>Element C4:</u> Each jurisdiction participating in the plan must have mitigation actions specific to that jurisdiction that are based on the jurisdiction’s risk and vulnerabilities as well as priorities. Each jurisdiction must have at least one action for each hazard identified. Please revise the plan to include one action for each hazard identified.</p> <p><u>Element C5:</u> The plan must identify the position, office, or department responsible for implementing and administering the action for each jurisdiction. Additionally, the plan must document the potential funding source for each action and jurisdiction.</p> <p><u>Element C6:</u> The plan must describe each jurisdiction’s process to integrate the data, information, and hazard mitigation goals and actions into other planning mechanisms. The School District needs to document its process for integrating the hazard mitigation actions into other planning mechanisms (ex. emergency response plan, emergency operations plan, comprehensive emergency management plan, etc.).</p>										

MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET												
#	Jurisdiction Name	Jurisdiction Type (city/borough/township/village, etc.)	Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
							A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Requirements
16	Quilcene School District No. 48	Public School District	Wally F. Lis Superintendent Of Schools	P.O. Box 40 Quilcene, WA 98376	wlis@qsd48.org	(360) 765-3363	1. N	1. Y	1. Y	1. N/A		
							2. Y	2. N	2. Y	2. N/A		
							3. Y	3. N	3. Y	3. N/A		
							4. Y	4. N/A	4. N			
							5. Y		5. N			
							6. Y		6. N			
		<p>Required Revisions:</p> <p><u>Element A1:</u> For each jurisdiction seeking plan approval, the plan must document how they were involved in the planning process. This includes how each jurisdiction’s representative engaged <i>its own</i> community. The plan is lacking documentation of how each special district engaged their jurisdiction in the planning process after team meetings. It is suggested that each special district describe how they engaged their jurisdiction to develop plan content after attending planning meetings.</p> <p><u>Element B2:</u> The Plan must include the history of previous hazard events for each of the identified hazards. The School District did not list any past natural hazard events since 1975. Please revise the plan to include any hazard events or document that there were none.</p> <p><u>Element B3:</u> The plan must describe and summarize the potential impacts of each of the identified hazards on the School District and its service area. Impact means the consequence or effect of the hazard on the School District and its assets. Consider vulnerabilities to structures, systems, and populations. The current list of critical facilities and list of prioritized hazards is not sufficient.</p> <p><u>Element C4:</u> Each jurisdiction participating in the plan must have mitigation actions specific to that jurisdiction that are based on the jurisdiction’s risk and vulnerabilities as well as priorities. Each jurisdiction must have at least one action for each hazard identified. Please revise the plan to include one action for each hazard identified.</p> <p><u>Element C5:</u> The plan must identify the position, office, or department responsible for implementing and administering the action for each jurisdiction. Additionally, the plan must document the potential funding source for each action and jurisdiction.</p> <p><u>Element C6:</u> The plan must describe each jurisdiction’s process to integrate the data, information, and hazard mitigation goals and actions into other planning mechanisms. The School District needs to document its process for integrating the hazard mitigation actions into other planning mechanisms (ex emergency response plan, emergency operations plan, comprehensive emergency management plan, etc.).</p>										

MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET												
#	Jurisdiction Name	Jurisdiction Type (city/borough/ township/ village, etc.)	Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
							A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Requirements
17	Quillayute Valley School District No. 402	Public School District	Diana Reaume Superintendent Of Schools	P.O. Box 60 Forks, WA 98331	diana.reaume@qvschools.org	(360) 742-6262	1. N	1. Y	1. Y	1. N/A		
							2. Y	2. Y	2. Y	2. N/A		
							3. Y	3. N	3. Y	3. N/A		
							4. Y	4. N/A	4. N			
							5. Y		5. N			
							6. Y		6. N			
<p>Required Revisions:</p> <p><u>Element A1:</u> For each jurisdiction seeking plan approval, the plan must document how they were involved in the planning process. This includes how each jurisdiction’s representative engaged <i>its own</i> community. The plan is lacking documentation of how each special district engaged their jurisdiction in the planning process after team meetings. It is suggested that each special district describe how they engaged their jurisdiction to develop plan content after attending planning meetings.</p> <p><u>Element B3:</u> The plan must describe and summarize the potential impacts of each of the identified hazards on the School District and its service area. Impact means the consequence or effect of the hazard on the School District and its assets. Consider vulnerabilities to structures, systems, and populations. The current list of critical facilities and list of prioritized hazards is not sufficient.</p> <p><u>Element C4:</u> Each jurisdiction participating in the plan must have mitigation actions specific to that jurisdiction that are based on the jurisdiction’s risk and vulnerabilities as well as priorities. Each jurisdiction must have at least one action for each hazard identified. Please revise the plan to include one action for each hazard identified.</p> <p><u>Element C5:</u> The plan must identify the position, office, or department responsible for implementing and administering the action for each jurisdiction. Additionally, the plan must document the potential funding source for each action and jurisdiction.</p> <p><u>Element C6:</u> The plan must describe each jurisdiction’s process to integrate the data, information, and hazard mitigation goals and actions into other planning mechanisms. The School District needs to document its process for integrating the hazard mitigation actions into other planning mechanisms (ex emergency response plan, emergency operations plan, comprehensive emergency management plan, etc.).</p>												

MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET												
#	Jurisdiction Name	Jurisdiction Type (city/borough/ township/ village, etc.)	Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
							A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Requirements
18	Public Utility District No. 1 of Jefferson County	Public Utility District	James Parker General Manager	310 Four Corners Rd Port Townsend, WA 98368	jparker@jeffpud.org	(360) 385-5800	1. N	1. Y	1. Y	1. N/A		
							2. Y	2. Y	2. Y	2. N/A		
							3. Y	3. N	3. Y	3. N/A		
							4. Y	4. N/A	4. N			
							5. Y		5. N			
							6. Y		6. N			
		<p><u>Element A1:</u></p> <p>For each jurisdiction seeking plan approval, the plan must document how they were involved in the planning process. This includes how each jurisdiction’s representative engaged <i>its own</i> community. The plan is lacking documentation of how each special district engaged their jurisdiction in the planning process after team meetings. It is suggested that each special district describe how they engaged their jurisdiction to develop plan content after attending planning meetings.</p> <p><u>Element B3:</u> The plan must describe and summarize the potential impacts of each of the identified hazards on the Public Utility District and its service area. Impact means the consequence or effect of the hazard on the Utility District and its assets. Consider vulnerabilities to structures, systems, and populations. The current list of critical facilities and list of prioritized hazards is not sufficient.</p> <p><u>Element C4:</u> Each jurisdiction participating in the plan must have mitigation actions specific to that jurisdiction that are based on the jurisdiction’s risk and vulnerabilities as well as priorities. Each jurisdiction must have at least one action for each hazard identified. Please revise the plan to include one action for each hazard identified.</p> <p><u>Element C5:</u> The plan must identify the position, office, or department responsible for implementing and administering the action for each jurisdiction. Additionally, the plan must document the potential funding source for each action and jurisdiction.</p> <p><u>Element C6:</u> The plan must describe each jurisdiction’s process to integrate the data, information, and hazard mitigation goals and actions into other planning mechanisms. The Utility District needs to document its process for integrating the hazard mitigation actions into other planning mechanisms (ex emergency response plan, emergency operations plan, comprehensive emergency management plan, etc.).</p>										



MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET												
#	Jurisdiction Name	Jurisdiction Type (city/borough/ township/ village, etc.)	Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
							A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Requirements
19	Jefferson Transit Authority	Public Transportation District	Tammi Rubert General Manager	63 Four Corners Rd Port Townsend, WA 98368	trubert@jeffersontransit.com	(360) 385-4777	1. N	1. Y	1. N	1. N/A		
							2. Y	2. Y	2. Y	2. N/A		
							3. Y	3. N	3. Y	3. N/A		
							4. Y	4. N/A	4. N			
							5. Y		5. N			
							6. Y		6. N			
		<p>Required Revisions:</p> <p><u>Element A1:</u> For each jurisdiction seeking plan approval, the plan must document how they were involved in the planning process. This includes how each jurisdiction’s representative engaged <i>its own</i> community. The plan is lacking documentation of how each special district engaged their jurisdiction in the planning process after team meetings. It is suggested that each special district describe how they engaged their jurisdiction to develop plan content after attending planning meetings.</p> <p><u>Element B3:</u> The plan must describe and summarize the potential impacts of each of the identified hazards on the Transit Authority and its service area. Impact means the consequence or effect of the hazard on the Transit Authority and its assets. Consider vulnerabilities to structures, systems, and populations. The current list of critical facilities and list of prioritized hazards is not sufficient.</p> <p><u>Element C1:</u> The plan must describe each jurisdiction’s existing authorities, policies, programs and resources available to accomplish hazard mitigation. Please revise with existing mitigation practices ex. non-structural retrofits, etc. or document that there are none in place.</p> <p><u>Element C4:</u> Each jurisdiction participating in the plan must have mitigation actions specific to that jurisdiction that are based on the jurisdiction’s risk and vulnerabilities as well as priorities. Each jurisdiction must have at least one action for each hazard identified. Please revise the plan to include one action for each hazard identified.</p> <p><u>Element C5:</u> The plan must identify the position, office, or department responsible for implementing and administering the action for each jurisdiction. Additionally, the plan must document the potential funding source for each action and jurisdiction.</p> <p><u>Element C6:</u> The plan must describe each jurisdiction’s process to integrate the data, information, and hazard mitigation goals and actions into other planning mechanisms. The Transit Authority needs to document its process for integrating the hazard mitigation actions into other planning mechanisms (ex emergency response plan, emergency operations plan, comprehensive emergency management plan, etc.).</p>										

# End of Document